

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/770,919 | 02/03/2004 | Chad A. Cobbley | MICS:0078-5 | 1688 | |
| 52142 FLETCHER Y | 7590 08/07/200 ODER (MICRON TEC | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 692289 | | | MITCHELL, JAMES M | | |
| HOUSTON, T | X 77269-2289 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2813 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 08/07/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/770,919 COBBLEY ET AL.

| Office Action Summary | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| Omce Action Gammary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | JAMES M. MITCHELL | 2813 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence ac | ldress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Estensoins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.1 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory priod to reply with the set or extended period for reply with the set. | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A | pril 2008. | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3.4 and 16-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-15 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| | _ | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | Evaminar | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | ED 1 121/d) | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | | | | | |
| The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex | anniner. Note the attached Office | ACION OF IOIII F | 10-102. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior | - | ed in this National | Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | | |
| 3) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08) | 5). Notice of Informal F | amer Application | | | | |

| Attachment(s) | | |
|---|---|--|
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Pto-96769 Paper No(s)Mail Date 4/22/2008 | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Mail Date: 3) Action of Informal Pater Lapplication 6) Other: | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/770,919 Page 2

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to applicant's amendment filed April 22, 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 5-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The definition of a substrate is a supporting material on or **in which** the components of an integrated circuit are **fabricated or attached**, or an insulating layer that components are formed on; therefore, since the dies contain circuits formed in semiconductor substrates the stack includes a substrate. Alternatively, the die stacks are formed on/ attached to a holder albeit temporarily and therefore the holder is still within the definition of a substrate. As such, the claim is not enabled, since one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, cannot make a stack formed on what it excludes.
- 4. Claims 1, 2 and 5-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed,

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/770,919

Art Unit: 2813

had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the specification for the negative limitation that the die stacks do not include a lead frame.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1, 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ball (U.S. 7.371.612)
- 7. The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.
 Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.
- 8. Ball (e.g. Fig. 3, 5, 9) discloses:
- (cl. 1) a temporary holding surface (302) having a plurality of semiconductor die stacks thereon (104/104; Fig. 5), the temporary holding surface configured to temporarily hold the die stacks, wherein each of the plurality of semiconductor die stacks include at least two semiconductor die (Fig. 5) permanently coupled together by an adhesive (206; e.g.

Application/Control Number: 10/770,919 Page 4

Art Unit: 2813

cured; Col. 4, Lines 10-18)) and wherein the plurality of semiconductor die stacks do not include a lead frame (e.g. none shown) or a substrate (e.g. dies have no discrete substrate other than their own Si, substrate body);

- (cl. 5) at least three dies connected by adhesives (2; Fig. 9);
- (cl. 9) one of at least two dies comprise a memory die (Col. 5, Lines 35-39).

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed April 22, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to the 112 first paragraph rejection. Applicant contends that the die stack and substrate are distinguished, because the specification indicates that the stack is later stacked on the substrate and therefore not integral with the die. While examiner agrees that the specification does indicate such, this does not negate the fact that every chip/die has a substrate from which circuits are built on or in. As such, the claim calling for die stacks without a substrate are non-enabled. It is recommended that applicant add the word discrete to substrate to distinguish that applicant is referring to a substrate other than the chip/die's own substrate.
- 10. Applicant's remarks filed April 22, 2008 regarding the claimed lead frame that the limitation is supported has been considered. However, examiner is un-persuaded since applicant admits there is a difference between a lead frame and substrate, and no where in applicant's original disclosure is there a reference to a "lead frame".

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/770,919
Art Unit: 2813

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art discloses in: Abe (U.S. 6,995,468) and Kardauskas et al. (U.S. 6,660,463) the use of a die stack formed on a temporary holder.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES M. MITCHELL whose telephone number is (571)272-1931. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/770,919

Art Unit: 2813

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Carl Whitehead Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2813

August 3, 2009 /James M. Mitchell/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2813